

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/857,206		06/22/2001	Dong Do Lee	P66761USO	6181	
136	759	0 11/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		OLMAN PLLC	MOORE JR, MICHAEL J			
400 SEV SUITE 6		STREET N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHIN	NGTON	, DC 20004		2666		
				DATE MAILED: 11/30/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
				'' ''					
Office Action Summary			57,206	LEE ET AL.					
	Office Action Guillinary	Exam		Art Unit					
			ael J. Moore, Jr.	2666					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. do days, a reply within th autuory period will apply a v will, by statute, cause th	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MO e application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>22 June 20</i> 0	01.						
2a)□	, , ,	2b)⊠ This action							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3-5,7 and 8 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 June 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing	ı(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to		· ·	•	` .				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have documents have of the priority doc anal Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in A tuments have beer Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)				•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/857,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 1, the word "transmiting" should be "transmitting". On line 4, the word "a" is missing before the word "power". Lastly, on line 4, the word "for" is missing after the word "compensate". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, line 22, the word "forwad" should be "forward". On page 3, line 11, the word "a" is missing before the word "power". Lastly, on page 3, line 29, the word "a" is missing before the word "power".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claims **1-6 and 8** are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 1, on line 1, the word "of" after word "apparatus" should be "for".

Regarding claim 2, on line 2, the word "a" is missing before word "pilot".

Regarding claim 3, on line 2, the word "the" before word "reliability" should be "a".

Regarding claim **4**, on line 2, the word "the" before word "energy" should be "an".

Also, on line 3, the word "a" is missing before word "history".

Regarding claim **5**, on line 3, the word "a" is missing before word "sign". On line 4, the word "a" is missing before word "TPC". On line 5, the word "the" before word "number" should be "a". Lastly, on line 6, the word "the" before word "same" should be "a".

Regarding claim 6, on line 5, the word "a" is missing after word "extracting".

Regarding claim 8, on line 4, the word "a" is missing after word "extracting".

Lastly, on line 6, the word "the" before word "reliability" should be "a".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims **1, 2, and 6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takano (U.S. 5,924,043). Takano teaches all of the limitations of the listed claims with the reasoning that follows.

Regarding claim 1, "an apparatus for controlling uplink transmitting power in a CDMA mobile station" is anticipated by the transmit power controller 190a of Figure 10 spoken of on column 13, line 24 - column 14, line 3. "A channel estimator detecting power magnitude and/or phase of a specific channel of received downlink signals" is anticipated by transmit power controller 190a (channel estimator) of Figure 10 that receives a plurality of TPC bits (power magnitude information detection) from base station 101 as spoken of on column 13, lines 46-50. "A speed estimator estimating a moving speed of the mobile station based on the detected power magnitude and/or phase" is anticipated by speed detector 192 of Figure 10 that detects mobile unit speed as spoken of on column 13, lines 33-37. "A step adjuster changing the size of a power control step based on the estimated moving speed" is anticipated by step selector 116 of Figure 10 that selects an optimal step size based upon the detected speed as spoken of on column 13, lines 33-37. "A demodulator extracting a power control command contained in the received downlink signals" is anticipated by accumulator 114 of Figure 10 that stores TPC bits (power control command) received from base station 101 as spoken of on column 13, lines 46-50. Lastly, "a power level controller adjusting power level of transmitting signals by the changed power control step size according to the extracted power control command" is anticipated by transmit power controller 190a of

Application/Control Number: 09/857,206

Art Unit: 2666

Figure 10 that uses speed detector 192 as well as step selector 116 to adjust transmitting power level as spoken of on column 13, lines 28-58.

Regarding claim 2, "wherein the specific channel is a pilot channel" is anticipated by the TPC bit transmission over a traffic channel (pilot channel) spoken of on column 2, lines 18-23.

Regarding claim 6, "a method of controlling uplink transmitting power in a CDMA communication system" is anticipated by the transmission power adjusting method performed by transmit power controller 190a of Figure 10 spoken of on column 13, lines 25-58. "Receiving downlink signals" as well as "detecting power magnitude and/or phase of a specific channel of the received downlink signals" is anticipated by the reception of a plurality of TPC bits (power magnitude information detection) from base station 101 as spoken of on column 13, lines 46-50. "Extracting a power control command from the received downlink signals" is anticipated by accumulator 114 of Figure 10 that stores TPC bits (power control command) received from base station 101 as spoken of on column 13, lines 46-50. "Estimating a moving speed of a mobile station based on the detected power magnitude and/or phase" is anticipated by speed detector 192 of Figure 10 that detects mobile unit speed as spoken of on column 13, lines 33-37. "Changing a power control step size based on the estimated moving speed" is anticipated by step selector 116 of Figure 10 that selects an optimal step size based upon the detected speed as spoken of on column 13, lines 33-37. Lastly, "increasing or decreasing power level of transmitting signals by the changed power control step size according to the extracted power control command" is anticipated by transmit power

controller 190a of Figure 10 that uses speed detector 192 as well as step selector 116 to adjust transmitting power level as spoken of on column 13, lines 28-58.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 8 is allowable over the prior art of record.
- 8. Claims **3-5 and 7** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 3, the prior art of record teaches the apparatus of claim 1. The prior art of record fails to teach a measuring means for measuring a reliability of an extracted power control command. The prior art of record also fails to teach deriving a weighting factor from the measured reliability, multiplying this weighting factor by the changed power control step size, and then incrementing or decrementing the power level of the transmitting signals by the multiplied step size.

Regarding claims **4 and 5**, these claims are further limiting to claim **3** and are thus also allowable over the prior art of record.

Regarding claim 7, the prior art of record teaches the method of claim 6. The prior art of record fails to teach changing the power control step size every 1.25 milliseconds.

Regarding claim 8, the prior art of record teaches a method of controlling uplink transmitting power in a CDMA communication system where downlink signals are

received and power control commands are extracted from the received downlink signals. The prior art of record fails to teach calculating a reliability of an extracted power control command. The prior art of record also fails to teach deriving a weighting factor from the calculated reliability and multiplying a determined power control step size by this factor. The prior art of record also fails to teach increasing or decreasing a power level of transmitting signals by the multiplied power control step size in accordance with the extracted power control command.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaneda et al. (U.S. 6,343,218), Soliman (U.S. 6,490,460), Kubo et al. (U.S. 2003/0003914), and Kubo et al. (U.S. 6,711,384) are all references that contain material pertinent to this application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Moore, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30am - 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao can be reached at (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Moore, Jr.

Examiner Art Unit 2666

mim MM

FRANK DUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER